PUBLIC COPY

invasion of personal privacy

hent of Homeland Security

Citizenship and Immigration Services Bureau

RATIVE APPEALS OFFICE

e Street, N.W. BCIS, AAO, 20 Mass, 3/F Washington, D.C. 20536



File:

WAC 01 257 57154

Office: California Service Center

Date:

AUG 192003

IN RE: Petitioner:

Beneficiary:

Petition:

Petition for Alien Fiancé(e) Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(K) of the

Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(K)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



## **INSTRUCTIONS:**

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office that originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.7.

> Robert P. Wiemann, Director Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the Acting Director, California Service Center, and is now on appeal before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner is a naturalized citizen of the United States who seeks to classify the beneficiary, a native and citizen of Vietnam, as the fiancée of a United States citizen pursuant to section  $101\,(a)\,(15)\,(K)$  of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. §  $1101\,(a)\,(15)\,(K)$ .

The director denied the petition after determining that the petitioner and the beneficiary had not personally met within two years before the date of filing the petition, as required by section 214(d) of the Act.

Section 101(a)(15)(K) of the Act defines "fiancé(e)" as:

An alien who is the fiancée or fiancé of a citizen of the United States and who seeks to enter the United States solely to conclude a valid marriage with the petitioner within ninety days after entry.

Section 214(d) of the Act, 8 U.S.C.  $\S$  1184(d), states, in pertinent part, that a fiancé(e) petition:

. . . shall be approved only after satisfactory evidence is submitted by the petitioner to establish that the parties have previously met in person within two years before the date of filing the petition, have a bona fide intention to marry, and are legally able and actually willing to conclude a valid marriage in the United States within a period of ninety days after the alien's arrival. . . .

The petitioner filed the Petition for Alien Fiancé(e) (Form I-129F) with the Bureau on August 13, 2001. Therefore, the petitioner and the beneficiary were required to have met during the period that began on August 13, 1999 and ended on August 13, 2001.

In response to Question #19 on the Form I-129F, the petitioner indicated that he and the beneficiary had personally met. In response to the director's request for evidence and additional information concerning the parties' last meeting, the petitioner submitted photographs and a travel itinerary showing that he had traveled to Vietnam from December 5, 1998 through February 26, 1999. On appeal, counsel submits documentation indicating that the petitioner again traveled to Vietnam from on or about July 4, 2002 through July 13, 2002.

It is important to emphasize that the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(k) (2) requires the petitioner to prove that he last met the

beneficiary no more than two years *prior to* the filing date of the petition. In the instant case, the relevant two-year period is August 13, 1999 through August 13, 2001.

The evidence of record reflects that the petitioner traveled to Vietnam from December 5, 1998 through February 26, 1999, and again from July 4, 2002 through July 13, 2002. Although the petitioner and beneficiary have met, the meeting did not occur within the relevant two-year period. Therefore, the appeal will be dismissed.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. \$ 214.2(k)(2), the denial of the petition is without prejudice. The petitioner may file a new I-129F petition in the beneficiary's behalf so that the two-year period in which the parties are required to have met will apply.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not met that burden.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.